



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/001,258	11/01/2001	Weiping Li	WCT-7302	8345	
7590 07/29/2004			EXAMINER		
2.200.000	Martin Novack			DIEP, NHON THANH	
17414 Via Capri East Boca Raton, FL 33496			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2613		
			DATE MAILED: 07/29/200	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/001,258	LI, WEIPING				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nhon T Diep	2613				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appreciation app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address -				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleted in the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_ '					
·—	s action is non-final.					
	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	xamilier. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/001,258

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1- 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Radha et al (US 6.501,397).

Radha et al discloses a bit-plane dependent signal compression comprising the same method for use in conjunction with a video encoding/decoding technique wherein images are encoded using truncatable image-representable signals in bit plane form (fig. 1), the method comprising the steps of: determining a specified number of bitplanes for the coding of an image-representative frame (fig. 1 and col. 2, In. 58-59: the source signal S includes n bit planes); and producing an encoded bitstream for the frame which has a syntax-containing portion that includes a representation of the specified number

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/001,258

Art Unit: 2613

(fig. 3, P = output of el. 8 and col. 6, In. 21-23) as specified in claims 1, 11 and 16; providing a decoder for decoding the encoded bitstream (fig. 4) as specified in claims 2 and 17; performing conformance testing on the decoder at a conformance level that is a function of the specified number (col. 6, In. 25-28, 43-46) as specified in claims 3 and 11; encoding/decoding technique comprises a fine granularity scaling encoding/decoding technique or fine granularity scaling encoding/decoding technique is MPEG-4 fine granularity scaling (col. 5, In. 43-46) as specified in claims 4-7 and 12-13; repeating the determining and producing steps for a number of frames of a video signal (col. 3, In. In. 36-39 and col. 7, In. 44-46: each sub signal = a frame) as specified in claims 8-10 and 14-15;

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a. Wu et al (US 6,614,936) discloses a system and method for robust video coding using progressive fine-granularity scalable coding.
- b. Chen et al (US 6,480,547) discloses a system and method for encoding and decoding the residual signal for fine granularity scalable video.
- c. Wu et al (US 6,700,933) discloses a system and method for advance predicted bit=plane coding.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhon T Diep whose telephone number is 703-305-4648. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f.

Application/Control Number: 10/001,258

Art Unit: 2613

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris S Kelley can be reached on 703 305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ND 22 July 2004

NHON DIEP RIMARY EXAMINER